UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,127	07/16/2003	Theodore James Myers	1033-A00498-C	1949
	7590 05/21/200 L DEPARTMENT - T	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING ROOM 2A-207 ONE AT & T WAY			DOAN, PHUOC HUU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BEDMINISTER, NJ 07921			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/621,127	MYERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHUOC DOAN	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	<u>arch 2009</u> .					
' = '-	·—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	;33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-33 and 36-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/13/2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 03/13/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the Applicant's remarks on pages 6-10, the Examiner disagreed, because the Rejection of Double Patenting has provided the evident of the information on the previous Office Action. Therefore, it is required the Applicant's compliant in response to Double Patenting. So the Rejection is maintained the Double Patenting.

Also, Hose clearly discloses a mobile terminal for a wireless communication system that include a graphical user interface to receive and allows user inputs to defined a user zone with a feature such as user can

Application/Control Number: 10/621,127

Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

inputs by key-pad on the mobile devices to defined a user zone, service area, subscriber's current location, and in response by a service request from the subscriber device indicating that a particular location base service is desired, includes a menu of local service providers base on the service **request** (see col. 7 through col. 8, lines 10-20). Hose added more clearly how the information transmit through the wireless network controller MSC based on the subscriber's current location to request the information service or service provider. It means the mobile device enable to transmit information related to the user zone to a network controller within the wireless communication system (see col. 7, lines 60-67, col. 8, lines 1-44). However, Hose does applied the graphical user interface used on the mobile device but without mentioned how the graphical user interface look live. In combine, Obradovich discloses a graphical user interface (GUI) that allowed to has the software on the mobile device such as the HTML hypertext markup language, it was a graphical user interface (see paragraph [81-82]).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,127

Art Unit: 2617

Double Patenting

Page 4

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 18, 31, and 38 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618594. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Independent claims 18, 31, and 38 of the present of application are broader in scope and thus encompass the subject matter already claimed in allowed US Patent

Art Unit: 2617

Application No: 09/399,402 (Subject to be published as US Patent No: 6,618,594 on 09/09/2004).

In specially claims 1, 4-5, 7, and 13 of the parent application recites a method, apparatus, and system. For example, claim recites "a method of defining user selected user zone associated with each of said user terminals comprising the step of: locating a user with the wireless communication system; defining an area by providing at least one user selected vector from the user terminal location, said at least one vector comprising a radius or distance vector; transmitting said vector information to said network controller, a user zone around said user terminal corresponding to said area wherein said user terminal can request communication service" which corresponding to claims 26, 34, and 41 of the present of application with more details claimed in the parent application that would render the broader claims of the present of application obvious. For example, claim recites "mobile terminal comprising: a GUI to receive user inputs to define a user zone, the user zone comprising a desired area of operation to subscribe to wireless service options; wherein the mobile terminal is adapted to transmit vector information related to the user zone to a network controller within said wireless communication system".

Art Unit: 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-33, 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hose (US Patent No: 7,024,205) in view of Obradovich (US Pub No: 2006/0229778)

As to claim 18, 38, Hose discloses a mobile terminal for a wireless communication system comprising: a graphical user interface to receive user inputs to defined a user zone (col. 7, lines 10-25, col. 8, lines 1-20 " user inputs by key-pad on the mobile devices to defined a user zone, service area, subscriber's current location", the geographical user zone comprising a desired are of operation to receive wireless service (col. 7 through col. 8, lines 18-19 "a service request from the subscriber device indicating that a particular location base service is desired, includes a menu of local service providers base on the service request); wherein the mobile terminal is adapted to transmit vector information defining the geographical user

Page 7

zone to a network controller within said wireless communication system (col. 7, lines 60-67, col. 8, lines 1-44 "the information transmit through the wireless network controller MSC based on the subscriber's current location to request the information service or service provider"). However, Hose does not disclose a graphical user interface (GUI) uses on the mobile device.

In the same field of endeavor, Obradovich discloses a graphical user interface (GUI) uses on the mobile device (See Fig. 7, and page 7, par [0081-0082]"HTML hypertext markup language"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to a GUI on the mobile device as taught by Obradovich to the system of Hose in order to easy view on the display's device.

As to claim 19, Hose further discloses wherein the user zone comprises a desired area of operation of the mobile terminal for a temporary duration (col. 7 lines 15-67 "user requests the services option with a menu included service provider or services that based on a particular location service is desired").

As to claim 20, Hose further discloses wherein said vector information comprises at least one radius or distant vector with respect to said mobile terminal location (col. 8, lines 12-15).

As to claim 21, Hose further discloses comprising a stylus or keypad input device for inputting said user inputs (col. 7, lines 14-16).

As to claim 22, Obradovich further discloses a mobile terminal according to claim 18 wherein said mobile terminal is adapted to receive location information related to a location of the mobile terminal wherein the graphical user interface is adapted to display a map in response to the location information (page 7, par. [0088]).

As to claim 23, Hose further discloses wherein said location information is received at said mobile terminal from said wireless communication system (col. 7, lines 5-20).

As to claim 24, DeLorme further discloses that comprising a GPS receiver (col. 2, lines 45-67) and wherein said location information is provided by said GPS receiver (col. 2, lines 45-67).

As to claim 25, Obradovich further discloses wherein the graphical user interface is operable to display a map including street and landmark information (page 8, par [0087-0088]).

As to claim 26, Hose further discloses wherein the user input is input by at least one of a track ball, touch screen, light pen, mouse, keypad or stylus (col. 7, lines 1-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,127

Art Unit: 2617

As to claim 27, Hose further discloses wherein said mobile terminal is adapted to transmit a request for communication services within the user zone (col. 7 lines 45-55).

Page 9

As to claim 28, 33, Obradovich further discloses wherein the user inputs define at least one user selected vector that represents a radius defining a circle about said mobile device (page 8, par [0086-0088]).

As to claim 29, Hose further discloses wherein said request includes a request for voice and data communication service (col. 9, lines 20-59 "an SMS to provide an audible or voice message").

As to claim 30, Hose further discloses wherein said request for communication services is transmitted to a plurality of network controller each associated with a communication service provider (col. 21-59).

As to claim 31, Hose discloses a method of requesting communication services by a user within a user defined geographical user zone (col. 7, lines 10-25, col. 8, lines 1-20 " user's mobile devices to defined: a user zone, service area, subscriber's current location, service provider", the method comprising: determining a location of a mobile terminal of a user by receiving GPS data at said mobile terminal (col. 5, lines 40-53 "GPS transceiver embodied in the telephone 102"); defining a desired geographical user zone to receive wireless service surrounding the location based on the

Art Unit: 2617

user interaction transmitting information related to the desired area to at least one wireless communication service provider (col. 7 through col. 8, lines 60-65 "location based service is desire to request the wireless services provide, the subscriber and service provider may be charged a flat fee"); and receive from at least one wireless communication service provider wireless communication services within said desired area (col. 7 through col. 8, lines 18-19 "a service request from the subscriber device indicating that a particular location base service is desired, includes a menu of local service providers <u>base on the service request"</u>). However, Hose does not disclose providing a display map related to the location for user interaction.

In the same field of endeavor, Obradovich discloses providing a display map related to the location for user input (page 8, par [0086-0088] "the user may re-define the coverage of the displayed map by selecting a further option for a new radius from a mobile device location and based on the user selection that related to the location for user 703 interaction"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a display map related to the location for user interaction as taught by bradovich to the system of Hose in order to identify the location or services.

Art Unit: 2617

As to claim 32, Hose further discloses comprising transmitting the mobile terminal a request for data transmission services to at least one wireless communication service provider (col. 7, lines 40-49).

As to claim 36, Hose further discloses wherein determining a location of said mobile terminal includes receiving location information at said mobile terminal from the at least one wireless communication service provider (lines 7-29-40).

As to claim 37, Obradovich further discloses wherein defining a desired area includes inputting at least one user selected vector on a display map (page 8, par [0088]).

As to claim 39, Obradovich further discloses wherein the location information comprises a map (See Fig. 7, page 8, par [0088]).

As to claim 40, Hose further discloses wherein the desired user zone is independent from a location of the communication device (col. 8, lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUOC DOAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7920. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LESTER KINCAID can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PHUOC DOAN/ 05/14/09

/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617